UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

BERK ELEY • DAV IS • IRV IN E • LOSANG ELES • MERCED • RIVER SIDE • SAN DIEGO • SAN FRANCIS CO



SANTA BARBARA • SANTA CRUZ

OFFICE OF THE VICE PRESIDENT HUMAN RESOURCES

OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT 300 Lakeside Drive, 12th Floor Oakland, CA 94612-3550

October 21, 2009

VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

CHIEF HUMAN RESOURCE OFFICERS

Re: Furlough/Salary Reduction Program and Unit 18 Lecturers

The Office of Labor Relations has been informed by the AFT that at certain campuses, Unit 18 lecturers have been informed that the AFT is to blame for their layoffs by failing to agree to the furlough/salary reduction program. The purpose of this letter is to provide some background as to what transpired between the University and the AFT in order to eliminate the confusion that may have led to comments that the AFT's refusal to agree to the furlough program necessitated lecturer layoffs.

First and foremeost, the reason for any of the cost-cutting measures that have been implemented is a funding shortfall driven primarily by the State's failure to deliver on its budgetary commitments to UC. Everyone at UC is impacted by this difficult financial circumstance and the potential solutions require maintaining open minds and a willingness to cooperate. Exclusively assigning blame to the AFT is not accurate and will do nothing to solve the ongoing financial problems.

Over the past several months following the roll out of the furlough program, the University and the AFT have discussed the possible applicability of the program to Unit 18 lecturers. In the course of these discussions, the University and the AFT expressed a shared concern about the how the program could be applied to lecturers whose primary duties are instructional such that it 1) provided lecturers with genuine time off in exchange for a salary cut and 2) did not harm the University's teaching mission. An additional concern was whether the University would be able to distinguish between layoffs that were necessary to address the temporary budget shortfall (and would be limited by lecturer participation in the furlough program) and layoffs that were a result of permanent programmatic changes.

As a result of these discussions and the very practical problems involved in tailoring the program to the lecturer's unit, a formal proposal was never generated. The University never formally proposed the furlough/salary reduction program to the AFT for the lecturer unit. The AFT could not reject what it had not been offered.

When we return to the bargaining table with Unit 18 (lecturers), we will encourage and invite them to participate in additional discussions with the University regarding some form of cost-cutting measure that will 1) address UC's need to save funds and 2) address the universal concern in mitigating instructional head count reduction that could harm the University's instructional mission.

CHIEF HUMAN RESOURCES OFFICERS October 21, 2009 Page 2 of 2

I would very much appreciate your sharing this letter with your campus constituents in order to clear up the current confusion on this issue.

Sincerely,

Shelley L. Nielsen

Director - Labor Relations

cc: Vice President Duckett

Interim Executive Director Price Senior University Negotiator Chester

Labor Relations Managers Academic Personnel Directors

Executive Director Karen Sawislak, UC-AFT